

EVALUATION DEPARTMENT



Norad

Evalueringskriterier – en støtte eller et hinder for godt evalueringsarbeid

Evalueringskonferansen 2018

Er standarder og kriterier nødvendig?


Evalueringsforeningen 10 år = norske standarder??

DAC evaluation criteria


- **Relevance** – To what extent is the activity suited to priorities and policies of the target group and development partners?
- **Effectiveness** – To what extent are the objectives attained?
- **Efficiency** – To what extent were the least costly resources possible used to achieve the desired results?
- **Impact** – What are the positive and negative changes produced, directly or indirectly, intended or unintended?
- **Sustainability** – To what extent will benefits likely continue?

Annet fra EvalNet

- Key norms and standards
- Principles for evaluation
- Glossary
- Quality standards
- Guidance on evaluating:
humanitarian aid/ conflict
prevention &
peacebuilding/ managing
joint evaluations



Evaluation Systems review



- 37 evaluerings-enheter
- 8 multilaterale organisasjoner

Bærekraftsmålene



https://dacevaluationcriteria.org



HOME TAKE THE SURVEY CONTACT RESOURCES



We have recently started a process of exploring the adaptation of the DAC Evaluation Criteria to the new development context and landscape. We would like to engage with stakeholders in the evaluation profession and the broader development community in what will be a broad based consultative process.



Why We Are Consulting

The DAC Evaluation Criteria are widely used by many organizations and actors in the evaluation of development programs. They are currently reflected in policies, manuals and in the terms of reference in a wide range of individual evaluations by development ministries, agencies, banks, partners, NGOs, etc. They consequently have a strong influence on current evaluation practice.

However, after 15 years of applying these evaluation criteria, we believe it is time to revisit, or at least have a discussion on, whether they are still relevant.

We are mainly asking three questions.

1. What should we keep as is?
2. What should we change or adapt?
3. Are there new criteria that need to be added?

Give us your views:

TAKE THE SURVEY

Resources

- DAC Evaluation Criteria (view)
- DAC Evaluation Criteria Workshop Summary

Related

- Summary of the EvalNet meeting discussion on the criteria (extract from draft summary record of DAC EvalNet 21st meeting)
- Rethinking Evaluation - IEG's #WhatWorks blog series by Director General Caroline Heider
- Zenda Ofir's proposal- Evaluation for Development blog series
- Excerpt from paper on origin and background of the criteria from 21st Evalnet meeting, Hans Lundgren
- Transforming our world: the Agenda 2030 for Sustainable Development
- Paris Agreement - English Text
- EvalSDG Concept Paper by Evalpartners
- The 2030 Agenda and Development Co-operation Results, OECD Development Policy Papers
- Evaluation in the era of SDGs, IDEV, African Development Bank
- Evaluation for Agenda 2030, IDEAS publication
- Report Global development trends and challenges, Homi Kharas and Andrew Rogerson, ODI, 2017
- Report Tools and Methods for Evaluating the Efficiency of Development Interventions, Markus Palenber, BMZ Evaluation Division, 2011
- Article by R.Picciocto "The logic of development effectiveness: Is it time for the broader evaluation community to take notice?", Evaluation 19(2), 2013
- Extract from UNDP NEC conference discussion - "Dealing With Complexity In An Increasingly Connected World: Rethinking The Dac Criteria"

(French and Spanish versions of the above information are forthcoming).

Closes: October 31, 2018 Contact: dacevaluation.contact@oecd.org

Share this:

